

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/676,172	Applicant(s) BURRELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Alonzo Chambliss	Art Unit 2814	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) Leslie S. Szivos.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alonzo Chambliss
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to verify if a response was submitted to the USPTO for a final rejection mailed on 1/6/06. The attorney informed the examiner that I spoke with him on 4/20/06 and I would withdraw previous rejection. The examiner does not have a record of this conversation nor did the examiner see the box in the office action summary whited out next to the final rejection box as the attorney stated. The office action summary scanned into e-dan does have the box checked for a final rejection. The examiner informed the attorney that the rejection mailed on 1/6/06 was mailed as a final and the attorney's response on 4/6/06 was entered into PALM as an amendment after final. An advisory was also sent to the applicant on 4/12/06 informing the attorney of the final rejection. Therefore, this application has gone abandon.